



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/897,568	07/02/2001	James E. Dickens	010281	9702	
26285	7590 08/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART LLP 535 SMITHFIELD STREET			MARSH, S	MARSH, STEVEN M	
PITTSBURGH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3632		
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/897,568	DICKENS, JAMES E.	
Advisory Aution	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven M Marsh	3632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and indicate of the contraction of the contract of the con	ation. A proper reply to a	a in
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office firmely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropriat ount of the fee. The appropriat originally set in the final Office	MPEP e extension te extension e action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplif	ying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ame	ndment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	idered but does NOT pla	ice the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were nev	wly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>15-18</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,6-14 and 19-22.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		0	
	Z.	1/5-	
	LESL	IE A. BRAUN	
SM,	SUPERVISOR	Y PATENT EXAMINER	

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant argues that claims 1, 3, 6-14, and 19-22 are allowable for the same reasons that claims 15-18 were allowable. However, the Examiner points out that claims 15-18 are directed towards a method and independent claims 1 and 10 are directed to the structure of a cable bushing and installation tool. Therefore, claims 1, 3, and 6-14 cannot be allowable for the same reasons that make claims 15-18 allowable.